

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,490	NAKANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas H. Parsons	1745	

All Participants:

Status of Application: McGinn & Gibb

(1) Thomas H. Parsons.

(3) _____.

(2) McGibb & Gibb, PLLC.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Contacted the Applicants' Attorney regarding the status of the current application, as no response to the office action mailed 25 November 2005 has been received.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)